

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/993,366	<b>Applicant(s)</b> JACKOWSKI ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Deborah Davis	<b>Art Unit</b> 1655	

**All Participants:**

(1) Terry A. McKelvey.

(2) Katherine Davis Wong.

**Status of Application:** after non-final rejection, now allowed

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 31 January 2007

**Time:** 4:00 PM

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

*Potential rejections of claim 39, etc under 35 USC 112, first paragraph (new matter).*

**Claims discussed:**

39

**Prior art documents discussed:**


**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Clarification of the support for amended claim 39 was discussed, and how to amend the claims to avoid any new matter.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



**TERRY MCKELVEY, PH.D.**  
**SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER**

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)